

Solutions to Functional Analysis 2016–2017 exam

Question 1

Part (i)

A normed vector space is a Banach space if it is complete, that is to say all Cauchy sequences converge to limits within the space.

Part (ii)

Let (f_n) be a Cauchy sequence in $C(X)$. Let $x \in X$. Then by definition of the norm on $C(X)$, for each m, n we have that

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\|.$$

Hence the sequence $(f_n(x))$ is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers. Since the complex numbers are complete, it follows that this sequence converges to some limit. Call this limit $f(x)$.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then since (f_n) is Cauchy, we have N such that if $m, n \geq N$ then for each $x \in X$, we have

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we see that for all $x \in X$, we have $|f_m(x) - f(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$ whenever $m \geq N$. Hence, for $m \geq N$, we have

$$\|f_m - f\| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon.$$

So the sequence (f_n) converges to the function f . It remains to prove that $f \in C(X)$, that is to say f is continuous.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Let $x_0 \in X$. Then:

- We can pick N such that $\|f_n - f\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ whenever $n \geq N$.
- We can pick $\delta > 0$ such that $|f_N(x) - f(x)| < \delta$ whenever $d(x, x_0) < \delta$.

Hence, for $d(x, x_0) < \delta$ we have

$$|f(x_0) - f(x)| \leq |f(x_0) - f_N(x_0)| + |f_N(x_0) - f_N(x)| + |f_N(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} = \varepsilon.$$

So the function f is continuous and we are done.

Part (iii)

A subset $A \subseteq V$ is closed if for any sequence (x_n) in A converging to a limit $x \in V$, we have that $x \in A$.

Part (iv)

Let A be a subalgebra of $C(X)$ which separates points, contains units, and satisfies the property that if $f \in A$ then $f^* \in A$, where $f^*(x) = \overline{f(x)}$. Then A is dense in $C(X)$.

Part (v)

1. Let V be the set of polynomials of degree 3. Then V is finite-dimensional, and therefore complete. In particular, V must be closed.
2. By the Stone-Weierstrass theorem, the set of all polynomials is dense $C([0, 1])$, that is to say any function $f \in C([0, 1])$ is the limit of a sequence of polynomials. Hence the set of polynomials is not closed.
3. The evaluation map $E: C([0, 1]) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ defined by the formula $E(f) = f(0)$ is continuous. Hence the kernel of E is closed. The kernel of E is the required set $\{f \in C([0, 1]) \mid f(0) = 0\}$.

Question 2

Part (i)

The linear map $f: V \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bounded linear map if we have $M \geq 0$ such that

$$|f(x)| \leq M\|x\|$$

for all $x \in V$. We define the norm

$$\|f\| = \sup_{x \in V \setminus \{0\}} \frac{|f(x)|}{\|x\|}$$

Part (ii)

1. Observe

$$|I(f)| = \left| \int_0^1 f(t) dt \right| \leq \int_0^1 |f(t)| dt \leq \int_0^1 \|f\| dt = \|f\|.$$

So I is bounded and $\|I\| \leq 1$. Consider the constant function defined by the formula $f(t) = 1$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. Then $\|f\| = 1$ and $|I(f)| = 1$. Hence $\|I\| = 1$.

2. Observe

$$|E(f)| = 2|f(0)| \leq 2\|f\|.$$

So E is bounded, and $\|E\| \leq 2$. Using the same function as in (a), we see that $\|E\| = 2$.

3. Let $f_n(x) = x^n$. Then $\|f_n\| = 1$, and $f'_n(x) = nx^{n-1}$ so $|D(f_n)| = n$. So if D were bounded, we would have $\|D\| \geq n$ for all n . This is clearly impossible, so D is not bounded.

Part (iii)

Let V be a normed vector space, and let W be a vector subspace of V . Let $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ be a bounded linear map. Then we have a bounded linear map $F: V \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ such that $F|_W = f$ and $\|F\| = \|f\|$.

Part (iv)

Let (f_n) be a Cauchy sequence in V^* . Let $x \in V$. Then by definition of the norm on V^* , for each m, n we have that

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\| \|x\|.$$

Hence the sequence $(f_n(x))$ is a Cauchy sequence of complex numbers. Since the complex numbers are complete, it follows that this sequence converges to some limit. Call this limit $f(x)$.

By the algebra of limits, the function f is linear. Since the sequence (f_n) is Cauchy, it is bounded, so we have $M \geq 0$ such that $\|f_n\| \leq M$ for all n . Hence for each $x \in X$, we have that $|f_n(x)| \leq M\|x\|$ for all n . If we let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we see $|f(x)| \leq M\|x\|$ for all $x \in V$, so f is a bounded linear map.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Then since (f_n) is Cauchy, we have N such that if $m, n \geq N$ then for each $x \in V$, we have

$$|f_m(x) - f_n(x)| \leq \|f_m - f_n\| \|x\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \|x\|.$$

Let $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then we see that for all $x \in V$, $x \neq 0$ we have

$$\frac{|f_m(x) - f(x)|}{\|x\|} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon$$

whenever $m \geq N$.

So the sequence (f_n) converges to the function f .

Part (v)

Let $v \in V$. Observe

$$\|\tau(v)(f)\| = \|f(v)\| \leq \|f\| \cdot \|v\|$$

for all $f \in V^*$.

It follows that $\|\tau(v)\| \leq \|v\|$.

If $v = 0$, then $\tau(v) = 0$, so $\|\tau(v)\| = \|v\|$. So suppose $\tau(v) \neq 0$.

Let W be the one-dimensional vector space spanned by the vector v . Define $f: W \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ by

$$f(\alpha v) = \alpha \|v\| \quad \alpha \in \mathbb{K}.$$

Then by the Hahn-Banach theorem, we have $F \in V^*$ such that $\|F\| = \|f\|$, and $F(v) = f(v) = \|v\|$.

We see that

$$\|F\| = \|f\| = \sup_{\alpha \neq 0} \frac{|f(\alpha v)|}{|\alpha| \cdot \|v\|} = 1.$$

Hence

$$\|\tau(v)\| \geq |\tau(v)(F)| = |F(v)| = \|v\|.$$

Combining the two inequalities, we are done.

Question 3

Part (i)

We define the adjoint, $T^*: H \rightarrow H$, by the formula

$$\langle T^*u, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle$$

for all $u, v \in H$.

Suppose we have another map $S: H \rightarrow H$ such that $\langle Su, v \rangle = \langle u, Tv \rangle$ for all $u, v \in H$. Then for each $u \in H$ we have

$$\langle Su, v \rangle = \langle T^*u, v \rangle$$

for all $v \in H$, that is to say

$$\langle Su - T^*u, v \rangle = 0$$

for all $v \in H$. It follows that $Su - T^*u = 0$, that is $Su = T^*u$. So the adjoint T^* is uniquely defined by the formula.

Part (ii)

Let $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Let $M = \sup\{|k(s, t)| \mid s, t \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Observe

$$\|T_k(f)\|^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |k(s, t)|^2 |f(t)|^2 dt \leq M^2 \|f\|^2.$$

So $\|T_k(f)\| \leq M \|f\|$. In particular, $T_k(f) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, and T_k is bounded, with $\|T_k\| \leq M$.

Define $S_k: L^2(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R})$ by

$$S_k(g)(s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{k(s, t)} g(t) dt$$

Observe

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_k(f), g \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{k(t, s)} f(t) g(s) ds dt \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \overline{f(t)} k(t, s) g(s) dt ds = \langle f, T_k(g) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Part (iii)

Let V and W be Banach spaces. Let $T: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map such that the graph of T ,

$$\text{Graph}(T) = \{(v, Tv) \mid v \in V\} \subseteq V \oplus W$$

is closed. Then T is a bounded linear map.

Part (iv)

We will use the closed graph theorem. Let $(u, v) \in \overline{\text{Graph}(T)}$. Then we have a sequence (u_n, v_n) in $\text{Graph}(T)$ converging to (u, v) . Since $(u_n, v_n) \in \text{Graph}(T)$, we know that $v_n = Tu_n$.

Let $w \in H$. Then

$$\langle S(w), u_n \rangle = \langle w, Tu_n \rangle = \langle w, v_n \rangle$$

Since the inner product is continuous, if we let $n \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$\langle w, Tu \rangle = \langle S(w), u \rangle = \langle w, v \rangle.$$

Since this holds for all $w \in H$, it follows that $Tu = v$, and so $(u, v) \in \text{Graph}(T)$. Hence $\text{Graph}(T)$ is closed, and we are done.

Question 4

Part (i)

We define the spectrum

$$\text{Spectrum}(x) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \mid x - \lambda 1 \text{ is not invertible}\}.$$

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and $|\lambda| > \|x\|$. Then the element x/λ has norm less than one, so by the stated result, $1 - x/\lambda$ is invertible. Multiplying by $-\lambda$, we see that $x - \lambda 1$ is invertible, and $\lambda \notin \text{Spectrum}(x)$. The result now follows.

Part (ii)

Let $p(z)$ be a complex-valued polynomial. Let $x \in A$. Then

$$\text{Spectrum } p(x) = p[\text{Spectrum}(x)] = \{p(\lambda) \mid \lambda \in \text{Spectrum}(x)\}.$$

Part (iii)

Let $x \in H$. Write $x = v + w$ where $v \in V$ and $w \in V^\perp$. Then $P(x) = v$.

Hence $P^2(x) = P(v) = P(v + 0) = v$, so $P^2 = P$.

Part (iv)

Let $f(z) = z^2 - 1$. Then $f(P) = P^2 - P = 0$. Hence, by the spectral mapping theorem for polynomials, if $\lambda \in \text{Spectrum}(P)$, then $p(\lambda) = \lambda^2 - \lambda = 0$.

It follows that $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, so $\text{Spectrum}(P) \subseteq \{0, 1\}$.

Since $V \neq H$, the projection P is not itself invertible. Hence $0 \in \text{Spectrum}(P)$.

Now for $v \in V$ and $w \in V^\perp$, we have $(I - P)(v + w) = v + w - v = w$, which is the projection onto V^\perp . Since $V \neq \{0\}$, $V^\perp \neq H$, and $I - P$ is not invertible. Hence $1 \in \text{Spectrum}(P)$.

We conclude $\text{Spectrum}(P) = \{0, 1\}$ as required.

Part (v)

By the spectral mapping theorem for polynomials and part (iii), we have

$$\text{Spectrum}(I + 3P^4) = \{1 + 3\lambda^4 \mid \lambda = 0, 1\} = \{1, 4\}.$$

Question 5

Part (i)

We say a linear map $K: V \rightarrow V$ is compact if the closure $\overline{K[B(0, 1)]}$ is compact, where $B(0, 1)$ is the unit ball at 0 in the space V .

Part (ii)

Let $T: V \rightarrow V$ be a bounded linear map such that the image $T[V]$ is finite-dimensional.

Then $T[V]$ will be a closed subspace of W , so $\overline{T[B(0, 1)]_V} \subseteq T[V]$. Since T is bounded, if $v \in B(0, 1)_V$, then $\|Tv\| \leq \|T\| \cdot \|v\| < \|T\|$. Hence $\|w\| \leq \|T\|$ whenever $w \in \overline{T[B(0, 1)]}$. Thus the set $\overline{T[B(0, 1)]}$ is a closed bounded subset of a finite-dimensional normed vector space, so it is compact by the Heine-Borel theorem. It follows that the operator T is compact.

Part (iii)

- Let (e_n) be the standard orthonormal basis for l^2 . Then $e_n \in \overline{I[B(0, 1)]}$. But for $m \neq n$, we have

$$\|e_m - e_n\|^2 = \langle e_m - e_n, e_m - e_n \rangle = 2$$

which means (e_n) has no Cauchy subsequence, and hence no convergent subsequence. This means the set $\overline{I[B(0, 1)]}$ is not compact, and so that I is not a compact operator.

- A similar argument to the above tells us that R is not compact.

- Let

$$S_n(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = \left(a_1, \frac{a_2}{2}, \dots, \frac{a_n}{n}, 0, 0, \dots\right)$$

Then S_n has finite-dimensional image, and so is compact. Now

$$\|(S - S_n)(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)\|^2 = \frac{|a_{n+1}|^2}{(n+1)^2} + \frac{|a_{n+2}|^2}{(n+2)^2} + \dots$$

Hence

$$\|(S - S_n)(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)\|^2 = \frac{1}{(n+1)^2} (|a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + \dots)$$

meaning

$$\|S - S_n\| \leq \frac{1}{(n+1)^2}.$$

So S is the norm limit of the compact operators (S_n) , meaning S is itself compact.

Part (iv)

We say $T: H \rightarrow H$ is a Fredholm operator if $\ker(T)$ and $\ker(T^*)$ are finite-dimensional, and the image of T is closed. We define the index

$$\text{Index}(T) = \dim \ker T - \dim \ker T^*.$$

Part (v)

Observe that $\ker(R) = \{0\}$, and

$$R^*(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) = (a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots).$$

so $\dim(\ker R^*) = 1$. The operator R has closed image, so it is Fredholm, and $\text{Index}(R) = -1$.

By the above, the operator S is compact. Hence, by standard results on Fredholm operators, $R + S$ is Fredholm, and

$$\text{Index}(R + S) = \text{Index}(R) = -1.$$