

Functional Analysis: Semester 2 Chapter 5 Solutions

Paul D. Mitchener

Spring 2012

1. Let $x \in T[H]^\perp$. Then for any $y \in H$ we have

$$\langle T^*x, y \rangle = \langle x, Ty \rangle = 0.$$

Hence, taking $y = T^*x$, we see that $T^*x = 0$, and $x \in \ker T^*$.

Conversely, let $x \in \ker T^*$. Then for any $y \in H$ we have

$$\langle x, Ty \rangle = \langle T^*x, y \rangle = 0$$

so $x \in T[H]^\perp$.

Therefore $\ker T^* = T[H]^\perp$ as required.

2. (a) Let $\alpha: V \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be a linear map. Suppose that α is continuous. Then the inverse image under α of an open set is open, so the inverse image under α of a closed set is closed. Thus

$$\ker \alpha = \alpha^{-1}[\{0\}]$$

is closed.

Conversely, suppose that $\ker \alpha$ is closed. If $\alpha = 0$, then α is clearly continuous. So suppose that $\alpha \neq 0$.

So the complement of $\ker \alpha$ is non-empty and open. It follows that we have $x \in V$ and $\delta > 0$ such that $B(x, \delta) \cap \ker \alpha = \emptyset$.

We claim that $\alpha[B(0, \delta)]$ is bounded. Suppose otherwise. Then we have a sequence (x_n) in $B(0, \delta)$ such that $|\alpha(x_n)| \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In particular, there exists $y \in B(0, \delta)$ such that $|\alpha(y)| > |\alpha(x)|$.

Set

$$z = \frac{-\alpha(x)y}{\alpha(y)}.$$

Then $z \in B(0, \delta)$, and $\alpha(z) = -\alpha(x)$. So $z + x \in B(x, \delta)$, and $\alpha(z + x) = 0$, so $z + x \in \ker \alpha$. But this statement is a contradiction; we had that $B(x, \delta) \cap \ker \alpha = \emptyset$.

So the set $\alpha[B(0, \delta)]$ must be bounded. So we have a constant M such that $|\alpha(x)| \leq M$ whenever $x \in B(0, \delta)$.

Now, for any $x \in X$, we have $\frac{\delta x}{2\|x\|} \in B(0, \delta)$, so

$$\frac{\delta}{2\|x\|} |\alpha(x)| \leq M.$$

Rearranging, we see that $|\alpha(x)| \leq \frac{2M}{\delta} \|x\|$ for all $x \in V$, and so α is a bounded linear functional, and hence continuous.

- (b) We prove the result when $\dim B = 1$ and $A \cap B = \{0\}$; the general result then follows by induction.

Let $B = \text{Span}\{e\}$, where $e \notin A$. Then $A + B = A \oplus \text{Span}\{e\}$.

Define $\alpha: A + B \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ by the formula $\alpha(a + \lambda e) = \lambda$. Then α is linear, and $\ker \alpha = A$, which is closed, so α is continuous by the above.

Let $v \in \overline{A + B}$. Then we have a sequence (v_n) in $A + B$ such that $\|v_n - v\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Write $v_n = a_n + \lambda_n e$. By continuity of the map α , the sequence (λ_n) is Cauchy, and so converges to a limit $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}$. Write $a = v - \lambda e$. Then $\|a_n - a\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Thus $a \in \overline{A} = A$, and $v = a + \lambda e \in A + B$. Hence $A + B$ is closed as required.

3. (a) Let $a = (a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots) \in l^2$.

The map T is clearly linear, and

$$\|T(a)\|^2 = \frac{|a_1|^2}{1} + \frac{|a_2|^2}{4} + \frac{|a_3|^2}{9} + \dots \leq |a_1|^2 + |a_2|^2 + |a_3|^2 + \dots = \|a\|^2$$

so the map T is bounded.

Let

$$x_n = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots, \frac{1}{2}, 0, 0, \dots\right) \quad x = \left(1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\right).$$

Set $y_n = (1, 1, 1, \dots, 1, 0, 0, \dots)$, so that $y_n \in l^2$ and $T(y_n) = x_n$.

Observe $\|x - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ so $x \in \overline{T[l^2]}$.

Suppose $x = Ty$. Then we must have, by definition of the map T

$$y = (1, 1, 1, \dots).$$

But $y \notin l^2$ (the norm would be infinite). So $x \neq Ty$ for all $y \in l^2$, that is $x \notin T[l^2]$. So $T[l^2]$ is not closed.

- (b) Let $H = l^2 \oplus l^2$. Since the above map T is not continuous, the graph $A = \text{Gr}(T) \subseteq H$ is closed.

Let $B = l^2 \oplus 0$. Then B is closed. But

$$A + B = l^2 \oplus T[l^2]$$

which is not closed by the above.

4. (a) Observe

$$(T_1 \oplus T_2)[H] = T_1[H] \oplus T_2[H]$$

which is closed, and

$$\ker(T_1 \oplus T_2) = \ker T_1 \oplus \ker T_2 \quad \ker((T_1 \oplus T_2)^*) = \ker T_1^* \oplus \ker T_2^*.$$

The result now follows.

- (b) Since the operator
- S
- is invertible, and
- $T[H] \subseteq H$
- is closed, so is
- $ST[H]$
- . Certainly,
- $\ker(ST) = \ker T$
- , and, as
- S^*
- is also invertible,

$$\dim \ker(T^*S^*) = \dim \ker T^*.$$

It follows that the composite ST is Fredholm, with $\text{Ind}(ST) = \text{Ind}(T)$.

5. (a) We know by proposition 5.28 that
- $\text{Ind}(T_f) = -3$
- .

- (b) Let
- $\alpha(s) = \exp(ie^{is})$
- ,
- $s \in [0, 2\pi]$
- . Then, by the Toeplitz index theorem

$$\text{Ind}(T_f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\alpha} \frac{1}{z} dz.$$

We have $\alpha'(s) = -e^{is} \exp(ie^{is})$, so

$$\text{Ind}(T_f) = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{-e^{is} \exp(ie^{is})}{\exp(ie^{is})} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} e^{is} ds = 0.$$

- (c) This question needs some complex analysis covered in the functional analysis course, but covered in prerequisite courses.

Let $\alpha(s) = \cos(2e^{is})$. Then $\alpha'(s) = -2ie^{is} \sin(2e^{is})$, so as above, by the Toeplitz index theorem

$$\text{Ind}(T_f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{2ie^{is} \sin(2e^{is})}{\cos(2e^{is})} ds = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} 2ie^{is} \tan(2e^{is}) ds$$

Let $\beta(s) = 2e^{is}$. Then $\beta'(s) = 2ie^{is}$, so

$$\text{Ind}(T_f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \tan z dz.$$

Now,

$$\tan z = \frac{\sin z}{\cos z} = \frac{g(z)}{h(z)}$$

is holomorphic apart from simple poles where $\cos z = 0$, that is to say $z = k\pi + \frac{\pi}{2}$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Precisely two of these poles, namely those at $z = \pm \frac{\pi}{2}$, lie inside the contour β , which is a circle with radius 2. At these poles we have residues

$$\operatorname{Res}(\tan z; \frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{g(\pi/2)}{h'(\pi/2)} = -1 \quad \operatorname{Res}(\tan z; -\frac{\pi}{2}) = \frac{g(-\pi/2)}{h'(-\pi/2)} = -1.$$

So by Cauchy's residue theorem

$$\operatorname{Ind}(T_f) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \tan z \, dz = -2.$$